IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Page 1 of 3

GREENVILLE DIVISION

John Doe and Jane Doe, Individually, and)	C.A. No. 6:24-cv-03324-DCC
in a representative capacity as the parents)	
and next friends of their minor daughter,)	
S.D.,)	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
)	JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS
Plaintiff,)	
)	
VS.)	
)	
Dr. Phinnize J. Fischer Middle School,)	
Greenville County Schools, Olivia G.)	
Bennett, Robert E. Ivey, Bradley A.)	
Harvey, Kyle D. Pearson, Christine V.)	
Thomas, Paketrice S. White, Jeremie R.)	
Smith, and Raashad L. Fitzpatrick,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Defendants, Dr. Phinnize J. Fischer Middle School, Greenville County Schools, Olivia G. Bennett, Robert E. Ivey, Bradley A. Harvey, Kyle D. Pearson, Christine V. Thomas, Paketrice S. White, Jeremie R. Smith, and Raashad L. Fitzpatrick, and putative defendants Metris Cain and John Teer, by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court, for judgment on the pleadings pursuant to rule 12(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure dismissing parties and portions of Plaintiff's Complaint as follows:

- Count One: Negligent Hiring, Supervision, Monitoring and Retention and Count Two: Negligence/Recklessness/Willful and Wanton Conduct
 - The individually named defendants cannot be sued in their individual capacities pursuant to the South Carolina Tort Claims Act and should be dismissed as defendants in this cause of action.

- 2. Count Three: Outrage/Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress
 - This cause of action is barred by the South Carolina Tort Claims Act against the School District; Plaintiff has not alleged facts to support this claim against any individual defendant.
- 3. Count 4: Title IX, 20 U.S.C. §1681, et seq.
 - The individual defendants should be dismissed from this claim as Title IX does not authorize individual liability.
- 4. Count 5: Violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983 and Violation of Title VI and 20 U.S.C. § 28 et seq
 - The Amended Complaint does not contain specific allegations to support a claim that any individual defendant violated a constitutionally protected right of the minor Plaintiff.
- 5. Count Six: Violation of Restatement of Torts 323
 - No such cause of action exists; it is simply a reiteration of the duty to supervise students which forms the basis of the gross negligence cause of action.
- 6. Count Seven: Necessaries Claim
 - This is not a viable cause of action in South Carolina under *Doe v. Greenville* Cnty. Sch. Dist., 375 S.C. 63, 68, 651 S.E.2d 305, 308 (2007).

(Signature Page Follows)

Respectfully submitted,

HALLIGAN MAHONEY WILLIAMS SMITH FAWLEY & REAGLE, PA

By: s/ Susan M. Fittipaldi

Susan M. Fittipaldi, Fed. Id #: 7087 sfittipaldi@hmwlegal.com

Thomas K. Barlow, Fed. I.D. No. 7483 tbarlow@hmwlegal.com

P.O. Box 11367 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 (803) 254-4035

Attorneys for Defendants

February 28, 2025 Columbia, South Carolina